

SCRUTINY BOARD (ADULT SOCIAL CARE)

WEDNESDAY, 6TH OCTOBER, 2010

PRESENT: Councillor T Hanley in the Chair

Councillors J Chapman, B Cleasby,
P Grahame, S Hamilton, A Hussain,
M Lyons, K Renshaw, D Schofield,
S Varley and R Wood

**CO-OPTED
MEMBERS** S Morgan

34 Exempt Information - Possible Exclusion of the Press and Public

It was reported that appendices 4 and 5 of Agenda Item 7, Inquiry into the Future of Residential Care Provision for Older People in Leeds, were considered to contain exempt information under the terms of the Access to Information Procedure Rule 10.4.(3) (information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)) and Members were asked to determine whether to accept the officer's recommendation that the information should remain exempt and that the press and public should be excluded from the meeting during this item. Following a vote by Board Members present, it was:

RESOLVED – That the press and public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following parts of the agenda designated as containing exempt information on the grounds that it is likely, in view of the nature of the business to be transacted or the nature of the proceeding, that if members of the press and public were present there would be disclosure to them of exempt information as follows:

Agenda Item 7– appendices 4 and 5, Inquiry into the Future of Residential Care Provision for Older People in Leeds under the terms of Access to Information Procedure Rule 10.4. (3) (Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)) and on the grounds that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

35 Declarations of Interest

Councillor J Chapman declared a personal interest in Agenda Item 7, Inquiry into the Future of Residential Care Provision for Older People in Leeds as she had a relative who was employed in the independent care sector. (Minute No. 37 refers)

36 Apologies for Absence and Notification of Substitutes

Draft minutes to be approved at the meeting
to be held on Wednesday, 10th November, 2010

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillors P Davey and V Kendall, and co-opted member Joy Fisher. Councillors P Grahame and R Wood were in attendance as substitute Members.

37 Inquiry into The Future of Residential Care Provision for Older People in Leeds.

The reports of the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development and Director of Adult Services introduced the Board's Inquiry into the Future of Residential Care Provision for Older People in Leeds. The reports outlined the timetable for the Inquiry and submission of evidence.

The Chair welcomed Sandie Keene, Director of Adult Services and Dennis Holmes, Deputy Director, Commissioning to the Meeting.

It was reported that the Residential Care strategy was one of the key issues for adult social care in Leeds over the next 5 to 10 years and there was a need to create a service that was fit for future needs. In response to concerns regarding the use of percentages in the report it was advised that the accompanying presentation to the report would show projected figures. Members were also advised to inform the Director of Adult Social Services with any ongoing cases where problems had occurred with the provision of residential care.

The Board was given a presentation on long term residential care for older people in Leeds. The first part of the presentation focussed on the following areas:

- The National Social Care Context – personalised approach,, support in own home, control of own care, work with NHS colleagues, financial and demographic pressures, how to shape services
- Current Policy Context – What can be offered in future? Choice and control for individuals needing care
- Demography – Projected Population Growth and Dependency, there would be an estimated 33% increase in the older population of Leeds by 2029
- Benchmarking Comparisons – Leeds had an average demand in comparison to other Yorkshire and Humber authorities which was also reflected on a national basis
- Demand for Housing Options and Services to Maintain Independence – Fewer people were requiring residential care due to differing options available such as lifetime housing and extra care housing; promotion of independent living; personalisation and the use of direct payments.
- The Local Picture and Expected Numbers of Beds for Future Services – It was reported that there was currently a large number of void beds which equated to the total of 1.5 care homes. It was predicted that the number of local authority commissioned residential care beds would fall from 2,500 to 850 by 2029.

- Facilities and Supply of Residential Care in Leeds – Members attention was drawn to maps which showed the locations of residential care homes across the city.
- Implications for Local Authority Residential Care – expected changes to patterns of use, market competition, more demand for personalisation and people being cared for at home

In response to Members comments and questions, the following issues were discussed:

- The forecast reduction in provision of residential care in contrast to the increasing elderly population.
- Provision of end of life and palliative care.
- Respite care and facilities for carers to support their families whilst in respite care – it was reported that new provision would enable carers to stay with relatives during respite care.
- Sheltered housing – provision of wardens and activities for residents.
- Those who received care from families and friends and were not accounted for by the care system.
- Concern that respite care was used as an alternative to long term residential care – it was reported that respite care was used to enable people to remain independent and in their own homes for as long as possible.

The Board was given a further presentation, this focussed on financial frameworks, the following issues were highlighted:

- Financial requirements of existing public sector residential homes – staffing costs, registration and regulation issues, capital investment.
- Cost of void beds
- Lack of opportunity for capital investment in public sector residential properties.
- Unit cost comparisons with the private sector.

In response to Members comments and questions, the following issues were discussed:

- It was felt that current arrangements for public sector residential care would not remain sustainable in the long term, particularly in the light of potential significant budget reductions and reduced future demand.
- Support was available for those who would be placed into private sector residential care. Financial assessments were the same whether people went into public or independent sector care.
- Fire prevention works at existing homes would be carried out within the current capital programme.
- It had not been proposed to close any of the existing residential homes. There was a need to review future provision and consider all alternative options.

- Quality of care – this was overseen by the Care Quality Commission (CQC) and it was reported that good quality care was provided by both the public and independent sectors in Leeds.
- Independent sector homes had more modern facilities and required less updating and were therefore able to provide a cheaper unit cost for services.
- Provision of intermediate care.
- Provision of a more specialised service in house service.
- Partnership working with the NHS and other private sector companies.
- The reduction of people requesting permanent residential care was due to the success of alternative care packages that had allowed people to remain in their own homes longer and other housing options such as sheltered and extra care housing.
- Geographical issues – these would be considered as part of the residential care strategy and take account of the demographic information, predicted requirements and facilities provided in the locality.
- The need for Elected Members to be involved in consultation.

The Chair thanked Sandie Keene and Dennis Holmes for their attendance,

RESOLVED – That the report and discussion be noted in line with the Inquiry into the Future of Residential Care Provision for Older People.

38 Date and Time of Next Meeting

Wednesday, 10 November 2010 at 10.00 a.m. (Pre-meeting for all Board Members at 9.30 a.m.)

The meeting concluded at 12.50 p.m.